Statistical Physics and Condensed Matter Theory I: Final exam

Tuesday 21 October 2014, 9:00 - 12:00, REC C0.02

• Please write **legibly** and **be explicit** in your answers. I cannot give you points for things I can’t/don’t see!

• Please use **separate sheets for each question**, and put your **name, student number and study programme** on each of them.

• There is a collection of useful formulas at the end, class notes and books are **not** allowed.

• This exam consists of 2 problems. You should do **both of them**.

• Sub-questions marked with * are particularly challenging. Consider solving them only once you’re finished with the rest.

• **Be smart**: if you’re stuck on a (sub-)question, don’t lose too much time, you can always move on to the next one (the questions are formulated in order to make this possible).

• The points add up to 110, so you can drop some (sub) questions without being penalized.
1. Spin waves and the Kubo formula (40 pts)

Consider a one-dimensional lattice of $N$ sites, with spin operators $S_m$ defined at each site $m = 1, ..., N$, with periodic boundary conditions $S_{m+N} = S_m$. We are interested in the ferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (S_j^+ S_{j+1}^- + S_j^- S_{j+1}^+) + \Delta S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right]$$

with $J > 0$, and in which the anisotropy parameter $\Delta$ is an arbitrary real number (we take it here to be positive).

a) (5 pts)
Describe (if possible) all classical and quantum ground states of this system, treating the $\Delta > 1$ and $0 < \Delta < 1$ cases separately.

b) (5 pts)
Using the Holstein-Primakoff transformation, write the effective bosonic theory at large $S$ to leading nontrivial order in $1/S$ (in other words, keep the order $S^2$ and order $S$ terms but drop the order 1 terms).

c) (10 pts)
Obtain the spectrum of the theory to leading nontrivial order in the $1/S$ expansion. Again for the case $\Delta > 1$, what does the spectrum look like when the momentum is close to zero? Do you think that this approach also works for $\Delta < 1$? Explain your reasoning.

d) (5 pts)
Let us from now on restrict ourselves to the case $\Delta > 1$. We shall be interested in the space- and time-dependent correlations between the spins (in the large $S$ limit). Since the spin operators are written in terms of bosons, we can build everything in terms of the latter’s correlators. Therefore, as a first step, for a free bosonic theory $H_0 = \sum_k \epsilon_k a_k^\dagger a_k$, calculate the retarded correlation function

$$C_{ret,k_1,k_2}(t_1 - t_2) = -i\theta(t_1 - t_2) \langle [a_{k_1}(t_1), a_{k_2}^\dagger(t_2)] \rangle$$

in which the operators are in the interaction representation $a(t) = e^{iH_0 t} a e^{-iH_0 t}$. Hint: it’s easiest to do it directly (i.e. using operators, so without the field integral); you can calculate the zero-temperature correlation (i.e. on the ground state), though the correlator turns out not to depend on which state you’re calculating it on.

e) (5 pts)
Using the Kubo formula (see Useful Formulas), and specializing to zero temperature, calculate the effect (in linear response) of applying the operator $S_x^j$ at time $t_1$, on the expectation value of operator $S_x^{j_2}$ at time $t_2$, to leading order in the large $S$ expansion. Hint: simply consider applying the time-dependent perturbation $f\delta(t - t_1)S_x^j$ (with $f$ representing some very small ‘probing’ amplitude). Remember that $S_x = \frac{1}{2}(S^+ - S^-)$, and that the ground state is fully polarized. For your information: this and similar correlations can be used to describe inelastic neutron scattering experiments.

---

1. ... which here is the same as the Heisenberg representation since the perturbation is absent.
f)∗ (10 pts)

Go back to the derivation of the Hamiltonian for bosons, and keep the order $S^0$ term in the $1/S$ expansion. Show that this gives an interaction between the Holstein-Primakoff bosons of the form

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,k',q} V_{k,k',q} a_k^{\dagger} q a_{k'-q}^{\dagger} q a_{k'} a_k.$$ 

Give the explicit form of $V_{k,k',q}$. Considering again $\Delta > 1$ and small momenta, is this interaction repulsive or attractive? What does this mean for the stability of the theory at this order in the $1/S$ expansion?
2. Conductance through an Anderson impurity (70 pts)

The influence of interactions on electronic transport properties can be observed in many nanostructures, in particular in a so-called quantum dot, namely a spatially isolated island in and out of which electrons can tunnel. Due to its small size, the quantum dot supports discretely-spaced energy levels; considering only one of these levels for simplicity, we model the dot with the Hamiltonian

\[ H_d = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \xi_{d\sigma} d_\sigma \dagger d_\sigma + U n_{d\uparrow} n_{d\downarrow} \]

in which \(d_\sigma, d_\sigma \dagger\) are the annihilation/creation operators for a spin-\(\sigma\) electron in the considered level on the dot (they obey the canonical equal-time anticommutation relations \(\{d_\sigma, d_\sigma \dagger\} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma}\) ), \(\xi_{d\sigma} = \varepsilon_{d\sigma} - \mu\) is the on-site energy (including chemical potential shift set by a gate voltage), \(n_{d\sigma} \equiv d_\sigma \dagger d_\sigma\) and \(U\) is a Hubbard-like repulsive interaction which is counted if the dot is doubly occupied.

To investigate transport properties through the dot, we put two conducting leads to the left and right. These leads are described by the Hamiltonians (in which the index \(k\) can be thought of as a momentum-like label)

\[ H_l = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_{lk\sigma} l_{k\sigma} \dagger l_{k\sigma}, \quad H_r = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_{rk\sigma} r_{k\sigma} \dagger r_{k\sigma}, \]

in which \(l_{k\sigma}, l_{k\sigma} \dagger\) are the annihilation/creation operators for fermions in the left lead, which obey the canonical equal-time anticommutation relations \(\{l_{k\sigma}, l_{k'\sigma} \dagger\} = \delta_{kk'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma}\), and \(r_{k\sigma}, r_{k\sigma} \dagger\) are the corresponding ones for the right lead. For simplicity, we have taken the set of one-body energies \(\xi_{lk}, \xi_{rk}\) to be the same in the left and right leads \(\xi_{lk} = \xi_{rk} \equiv \xi_k\), and are neglecting any interaction effects in the leads.

Since they are in close proximity, the leads and the dot hybridize, meaning that electrons can effectively hop from/to leads to/from dot. This is modelled using the tunneling Hamiltonian

\[ H_t = H_{ld} + H_{rd} = \sum_{k\sigma} \left[ t_{l_{k\sigma}} \dagger d_\sigma + t_{l_{k\sigma}} \dagger l_{k\sigma} \right] + \sum_{k\sigma} \left[ t_{r_{k\sigma}} \dagger r_{k\sigma} + t_{r_{k\sigma}} \dagger r_{k\sigma} \right] \]

in which \(t_l\) and \(t_r\) are complex amplitudes quantifying the intensity of the hopping. The whole setup, whose full Hamiltonian is thus \(H = H_d + H_l + H_r + H_t\), is illustrated in Fig. 1.

![Figure 1](image-url)
The leads will act as reservoirs for electrons: putting the leads at different chemical potentials (voltage), electrons will tend to hop from one lead to the dot and then to the other lead, leading to an observable current. Since the dot can only accommodate up to two electrons at a time, and since the electrons are strongly interacting when they sit on the dot, this current will be a complicated function of the applied voltages, interaction $U$ and tunneling coefficients. This exercise aims at calculating the so-called conductance through the dot.

**a) (10 pts)** The (particle number) current going into the left lead can be written as the time derivative of the total number of electrons in the left lead,

$$I_l = \frac{d}{dt}N_l = i[H, N_l], \quad N_l \equiv \sum_{k\sigma} l_{k\sigma}^\dagger l_{k\sigma}.$$

Show explicitly that

$$I_l = J_l + J_l^\dagger,$$

where

$$J_l \equiv -it_l \sum_{k\sigma} l_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma}.$$

Note (you don’t need to rederive this, it’s obvious) that this implies the similar-looking formula

$$I_r = \frac{d}{dt}N_r = J_r + J_r^\dagger,$$

where

$$J_r \equiv -it_r \sum_{k\sigma} r_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma},$$

which will be of use later on.

**b) (10 pts)** It is possible to choose a smart basis for our fermions. Namely, in each fixed $k, \sigma$ subsector, let us define the unitary transformation $U$ into even and odd combinations ($u,v$ are parameters to be determined later; they do not depend on $k, \sigma$)

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} e_{k\sigma} \\ o_{k\sigma} \end{array} \right) \equiv U \left( \begin{array}{c} l_{k\sigma} \\ r_{k\sigma} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} u & v \\ -v^* & u^* \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} l_{k\sigma} \\ r_{k\sigma} \end{array} \right), \quad |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1.$$

Since this transformation is by definition unitary, the $e_{k\sigma}$ and $o_{k\sigma}$ obey canonical equal-time anticommutation relations

$$\{e_{k\sigma}, e_{k'\sigma}\} = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'},$$

and similarly for $o_{k\sigma}$, with $e$ and $o$ operators having trivial (vanishing) anticommutation relations with each other. The lead Hamiltonians thus naturally preserve their form under this transformation,

$$H_l + H_r = H_e + H_o, \quad H_e = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_{k\sigma} e_{k\sigma}^\dagger e_{k\sigma}, \quad H_o = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_{k\sigma} o_{k\sigma}^\dagger o_{k\sigma}.$$

Show that a smart choice of the parameters $u, v$ (which you are asked to give explicitly) turns the tunneling Hamiltonian into the particularly simple form

$$H_t = H_{ld} + H_{rd} = \sum_{k\sigma} \bar{t} \left[ e_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^\dagger e_{k\sigma} \right], \quad \bar{t} \equiv \sqrt{|t_l|^2 + |t_r|^2},$$

in other words that the tunneling Hamiltonian only involves the even and impurity fermion modes, but not the odd ones.

**c) (5 pts)** Let us now apply a perturbation in the form of a static voltage difference between the leads. A time-independent current will develop, which we define as $I = I_l$. Note however that in this time-independent situation, we must have $I_l = -I_r$ by charge conservation (the dot cannot accumulate charge). Therefore, we are entitled to equivalently consider any linear combination of the form

$$I = \alpha I_l - (1 - \alpha)I_r.$$

Show that under a judicious choice of the free parameter $\alpha$ (which you are asked to give explicitly), we can write the current operator in terms of the impurity modes $d$ and the odd fermion modes $o$ only,

$$I = J + J^\dagger, \quad J \equiv i\bar{t} \sum_{k\sigma} o_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma}, \quad \bar{t} \equiv \frac{t_l t_r}{|t_l|^2},$$

which will be of use later on.
d) (10 pts) Let us now try to treat the voltage difference between the leads perturbatively using linear response theory. Our starting point is the retarded current-current correlation function,

\[ C_{\text{ret}}(t) \equiv -i\theta(t)\langle [I(t), I(0)] \rangle \]

where the average is taken using the full unperturbed Hamiltonian \( H = H_d + H_e + H_o + H_t \) for \( \xi_{ik} = \xi_{ik} \equiv \xi_k \) (in the unperturbed system, the leads are at same voltage).

Using the following definitions of the ‘greater’ and ‘lesser’ Green’s functions of the odd electrons and of the impurity (careful with the time arguments!),

\[
\begin{align*}
G_{k\sigma}^\alpha\,(t_1 - t_2) &\equiv -i\langle \hat{o}_{k\sigma}(t_1)\hat{o}_{k\sigma}^+(t_2) \rangle, \\
G_{\sigma}^\alpha\,(t_1 - t_2) &\equiv i\langle \hat{o}_{\sigma}^+(t_2)\hat{o}_{\sigma}(t_1) \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

show that the retarded current-current function can be written as

\[
C_{\text{ret}}(t) = -i\theta(t) \sum_{k\sigma} |\bar{\epsilon}|^2 \left[ G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\langle}(-t)G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\rangle}(t) - G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\rangle}(-t)G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\langle}(t) - (t \to -t) \right].
\]

For future reference, the conductance \( G \) which we will want to calculate is defined by the zero-frequency limit of the (time) Fourier transform of \( C_{\text{ret}} \),

\[
G \equiv \lim_{\omega \to 0} -\frac{e^2}{\omega} \text{Im} \, C_{\text{ret}}(\omega), \quad C_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} C_{\text{ret}}(t).
\]

**N.B.: INFO BLOCK !!!**

You can use the equations in this greyed-out part without rederivation.

The retarded current-current function can be Fourier transformed to frequency space as follows. Using the facts that

\[
[G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\rangle}(t)]^* = -i\langle \hat{o}(t)\hat{a}(0) \rangle = -G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\rangle}(-t), \quad [G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\langle}(t)]^* = -G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\langle}(-t)
\]

and similar-looking equations for \( G^d \), it can easily be shown that

\[
\text{Im}(C_{\text{ret}}(\omega)) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \sum_{k\sigma} |\bar{\epsilon}|^2 \left[ G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\langle}(-t)G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\rangle}(t) - G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\rangle}(-t)G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\langle}(t) - (t \to -t) \right].
\]

Using the conventions

\[
G(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} G(\omega), \quad G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} G(t),
\]

leads after simple manipulations to

\[
\text{Im} \, C_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = -\frac{|\bar{\epsilon}|^2}{2} \sum_{k\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} \left\{ G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\langle}(\omega_1) \left[ G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\rangle}(\omega_1 + \omega) - G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\langle}(\omega_1 - \omega) \right] - G_{k\sigma}^{\alpha,\,\rangle}(\omega_1) \left[ G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\langle}(\omega_1 + \omega) - G_{\sigma}^{d,\,\rangle}(\omega_1 - \omega) \right] \right\}.
\]

Making use of the following identities relating the greater/lesser Green’s functions to the spectral function

\[
G^{\rangle}(\omega) = -i(1 - n_F(\omega))A(\omega), \quad G^{\langle}(\omega) = in_F(\omega)A(\omega),
\]

where \( n_F(\omega) \) and \( n_F(\omega) \) are the Fermi functions.
in which \( n_F(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\omega}{T}} + 1} \) is the usual Fermi-Dirac distribution, the imaginary part of the retarded current-current function can then be rewritten as

\[
\text{Im } \mathcal{C}_{ret}^{II}(\omega) = \frac{[\tilde{\eta}]^2}{2} \sum_{k\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} A^F_{ks}(\omega_1) \left\{ A^F_{ks}(\omega_1 + \omega) [n_F(\omega_1 + \omega) - n_F(\omega_1)] - (\omega \rightarrow -\omega) \right\}.
\]

**(e) (10 pts)** At this point, you should notice the truly remarkable fact that \( \text{Im } \mathcal{C}_{ret}^{II}(\omega) \) is given by correlations of the *odd* fermions and impurity ones. Remember that we had shown earlier that only the *even* fermions couple to the dot! Therefore, the odd fermions still are described by the free Hamiltonian \( H_o = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_k \sigma |k\rangle_o \langle k| \), and they do not couple to the rest of the system.

Show that the retarded Green’s function of the odd fermions is

\[
\mathcal{G}^{o,ret}_{k\sigma}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \xi_k + i\eta}.
\]

You can do this either by using the Matsubara formulation of the functional field integral to calculate the imaginary-time function \( \mathcal{G}^{o,ret}_{k\sigma}(i\omega_n) \equiv \langle \psi_{k\sigma}\psi_{k\sigma} \rangle \) (performing the substitution \( i\omega_n \rightarrow \omega + i\eta \) at the end of the calculation) or by calculating this function ‘canonically’ from its definition

\[
\mathcal{G}^{o,ret}_{k\sigma}(t) = -i\theta(t)\{o_{k\sigma}(t), o_{k\sigma}^\dagger(0)\}
\]

and Fourier transforming the result using the conventions \( \mathcal{G}^{ret}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t - \eta|t|}\mathcal{G}^{ret}(t) \) (including a convergence factor \( \eta \rightarrow 0^+ \)).

**(f) (5 pts)** Using the relationship between the retarded function and the spectral function

\[
A(\omega) = -2\text{Im } \mathcal{G}^{ret}(\omega)
\]

and the relation \( \frac{1}{\omega - \xi_k + i\eta} = -\pi\delta(\omega - \xi) \) coming from the Dirac identity, simplify the imaginary part of the current-current function to

\[
\text{Im } \mathcal{C}_{ret}^{II}(\omega) = \frac{[\tilde{\eta}]^2}{2} \sum_{k\sigma} \left\{ A^F_{ks}(\xi_k + \omega) [n_F(\xi_k + \omega) - n_F(\xi_k)] - A^F_{ks}(\xi_k - \omega) [n_F(\xi_k - \omega) - n_F(\xi_k)] \right\}.
\]

Show that the conductance itself (see again the definition given earlier in d) is given by

\[
G = e^2 \sum_{k\sigma} [\tilde{\eta}^2 A^F_{ks}(\xi_k) \left[ -\frac{\partial n_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \right]_{\xi_k} ].
\]

The conductance is thus a direct measurement of the spectral function of electrons on the dot.

**(g) (10 pts)** Let us now turn to the problem of calculating \( A^F_{ks}(\omega) \). The leftover part of our Hamiltonian \( H_o \) has been dealt with and is thus ignored from now on) is

\[
H = H_d + H_e + H_t = \sum_{\sigma} \xi_{d\sigma} d_{\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma} + U n_{d+} n_{d-} + \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_k \sigma |k\rangle_o \langle k| + \sum_{k\sigma} \left[ \ell_e^{\dagger} e_{k\sigma} + \ell^* e_{k\sigma}^\dagger \right].
\]

Let us now consider the functional field integral representation for this Hamiltonian (directly in the Matsubara representation). Introducing Grassmann coherent states \( \psi_{k\sigma}, \bar{\psi}_{k\sigma} \) for the even fermion modes (\( n \) is thus the Matsubara frequency index), and \( \phi_{\sigma n}, \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} \) for the impurity modes, we can write the partition function as

\[
Z = \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\phi}, \phi) \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) e^{-S_d - S_e - S_t}.
\]
in which

\[ S_d[\bar{\phi}, \phi] \equiv \sum_{\sigma n} \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \xi_{d\sigma}] \phi_{\sigma n} + \frac{U}{\beta} \sum_{n,n',m} \bar{\varphi}_{\uparrow n+m} \varphi_{\downarrow n'} \phi_{\uparrow n} \phi_{\uparrow n}, \]

\[ S_e[\bar{\psi}, \psi] \equiv \sum_{k\sigma n} \bar{\psi}_{k\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \xi_k] \psi_{k\sigma n}, \]

\[ S_t[\bar{\psi}, \psi; \bar{\phi}, \phi] \equiv \sum_{k\sigma n} \left[ \bar{t} \bar{\varphi}_{k\sigma n} \phi_{\sigma n} + \bar{t}^* \varphi_{\sigma n} \bar{\psi}_{k\sigma n} \right]. \]

The even modes appear as bilinears; show that they can be ‘integrated out’, yielding the effective theory for the impurity modes

\[ e^{-S_e[\bar{\phi}, \phi]} \int D(\bar{\psi}, \psi) e^{-S_e[\bar{\psi}, \psi] - S_t[\bar{\psi}, \psi; \bar{\phi}, \phi]} = C \times e^{-S_{eff}[\bar{\phi}, \phi]} \]

where \( C \) is some \( \phi, \overline{\phi} \)-independent quantity (so we can forget about it and set it to 1 here) and

\[ S_{eff}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] \equiv \sum_{\sigma n} \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \xi_{d\sigma} + \Sigma(i\omega_n)] \phi_{\sigma n} + \frac{U}{\beta} \sum_{n,n',m} \bar{\varphi}_{\uparrow n+m} \varphi_{\downarrow n'} \phi_{\uparrow n} \phi_{\uparrow n}, \]

in terms of the even electron ‘self-energy’ function which is defined as

\[ \Sigma(i\omega_n) \equiv \sum_k |t|^2 \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \xi_k}. \]

**h)** (10 pts) The effective theory we have obtained is actually quite simple, since it only involves the impurity fermions. Let us now make the further simplifying assumption that the even electron self-energy is equal to some constant, \( \Sigma(i\omega_n) \equiv \Sigma - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma \) (with \( \Sigma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R} \)). Show\(^2\) that the retarded Green’s function of the impurity fermions then takes the form (here, for spin \( \uparrow \), the expression for \( C^{d,ret}_{\downarrow} \) being similar)

\[ C^{d,ret}_{\uparrow}(\omega) = \frac{1 - \langle n_{d\uparrow} \rangle}{\omega - \xi_{d\uparrow} - \Sigma + \frac{i}{2} \Gamma} + \frac{\langle n_{d\downarrow} \rangle}{\omega - \xi_{d\downarrow} - U - \Sigma + \frac{i}{2} \Gamma}. \]

Show that the spectral function then is given by the sum of two Lorentzians,

\[ A^{d}_{\uparrow}(\omega) = -2\text{Im} C^{d,ret}_{\uparrow}(\omega) = \frac{(1 - \langle n_{d\downarrow} \rangle)\Gamma}{(\omega - \xi_{d\uparrow} - \Sigma)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2} + \frac{\langle n_{d\downarrow} \rangle \Gamma}{(\omega - \xi_{d\downarrow} - U - \Sigma)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2}. \]

Make a sketch of the expected conductance \( G \) as a function of \( \xi_{d\uparrow} \) (thus as a function of the gate voltage applied on the dot), assuming that the interaction \( U \) and inverse lifetime \( \sim \Gamma \) take some nonzero values (you can put \( \Sigma \) to zero), and that the temperature is zero. Give a physical interpretation of whichever peaks you find in the conductance \( G(\xi_{d\uparrow}) \).

\(^2\)Hint: consider inserting the identity \( 1 = (1 - n_{d\uparrow}) + n_{d\downarrow} \) in the correlation function for the spin-up impurity modes, and using the fact that \( 1 - n_{d\downarrow} \) projects onto the subspace with \( n_{d\downarrow} = 0 \), and that \( n_{d\downarrow} \) projects onto the subspace with \( n_{d\downarrow} = 1 \).
Useful Formulas

Trigonometric and hyperbolic functions

\[
\begin{align*}
sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2, \\
cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2, \\
\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta &= 1, \\
\sin^2 \theta &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 2\theta), \\
\cos^2 \theta &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos 2\theta), \\
\sinh(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= \sinh \theta_1 \cosh \theta_2 + \cosh \theta_1 \sinh \theta_2, \\
\cosh(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= \cosh \theta_1 \cosh \theta_2 + \sinh \theta_1 \sinh \theta_2, \\
cosh^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \theta &= 1, \\
\sinh^2 \theta &= \frac{1}{2} (\cosh 2\theta - 1), \\
cosh^2 \theta &= \frac{1}{2} (\cosh 2\theta + 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Series expansions

\[
\begin{align*}
e^x &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, & \cos x &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n)!}, & \sin x &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}, \\
(1+x)^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{x} x^n = 1 + \alpha x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} x^2 + ..., \\
\ln(1+x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}.
\end{align*}
\]

Bosonic occupation number states

\[
[b, b^\dagger] = 1, \quad |n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (b^\dagger)^n |0\rangle, \quad b^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle, \quad b |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle.
\]

Pauli spin matrices

\[
\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^x \pm i \sigma^y).
\]

Spins on a lattice

SU(2) spin algebra (here, \(i, j, k = x, y, z\) and \(m, n\) denote lattice sites).

\[
\left[ \hat{S}_m^i, \hat{S}_n^j \right] = i \delta_{mn} \epsilon^{ijk} \hat{S}_n^k.
\]

Spin raising and lowering operators: \( \hat{S}_m^\pm = \hat{S}_m^x \pm i \hat{S}_m^y \) with

\[
\left[ \hat{S}_m^z, \hat{S}_n^\pm \right] = \pm \delta_{mn} \hat{S}_n^\pm, \quad \left[ \hat{S}_m^+, \hat{S}_n^- \right] = 2 \delta_{mn} \hat{S}_n^z.
\]

For the \( S = 1/2 \) case, one can use the representation \( S^i = \sigma^i/2, i = x, y, z \).

Holstein-Primakoff transformation

\[
\hat{S}_m^- = a_m^\dagger (2S - a_m^\dagger a_m)^{1/2}, \quad \hat{S}_m^+ = (2S - a_m^\dagger a_m)^{1/2} a_m, \quad \hat{S}_m^z = S - a_m^\dagger a_m
\]

where \( a_m, a_m^\dagger \) are bosonic operators obeying the canonical algebra \([a_m, a_m^\dagger] = \delta_{mn}\) (other commutators vanish).

Fourier transformation

\[
a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} e^{ikm} a_m, \quad a_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k \in BZ} e^{-ikm} a_k, \quad [a_k, a_k^\dagger] = \{ a_k a_k^\dagger, - a_k^\dagger a_k \} = \{ a_k a_k^\dagger - a_k^\dagger a_k, a_k a_k^\dagger + a_k^\dagger a_k \}, \quad \text{fermions} = \delta_{kk'}.
\]
Bogoliubov transformation

The matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a & b \\
b & -a
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(here for \(a, b \in \mathbb{R}\)) can be diagonalized by the unitary transformation

\[
UHU^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon & 0 \\
0 & -\varepsilon
\end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & -\cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(\tan 2\theta = \frac{b}{a}\) and \(\varepsilon = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}\).

Coherent states (bosons: \(\zeta = 1\), fermions: \(\zeta = -1\))

\[
|\phi\rangle \equiv \exp\left[\sum_i \zeta \phi_i \alpha_i^\dagger\right]|0\rangle
\]

\(a_i|\phi\rangle = \phi_i|\phi\rangle, \quad \alpha_i^\dagger|\phi\rangle = \zeta \partial_{\phi_i}|\phi\rangle, \quad \langle \phi|a_i^\dagger = \langle \phi|\phi_i, \quad \langle \phi|a_i = \partial_{\phi_i}\langle \phi| \quad \forall i.
\]

The norm of a coherent state is

\[
\langle \phi|\phi\rangle = \exp\left[\sum_i \bar{\phi}_i \phi_i\right].
\]

Coherent states form an (over)complete set of states:

\[
\int \prod_i d(\bar{\phi}_i, \phi_i)e^{-\sum_i \bar{\phi}_i \phi_i}|\phi\rangle\langle \phi| = 1_F
\]

with \(1_F\) the identity in Fock space. The measures are \(d(\bar{\phi}_i, \phi_i) = \frac{d\bar{\phi}_i d\phi_i}{\pi}\) for bosons, \(d(\bar{\phi}_i, \phi_i) = d\bar{\phi}_i d\phi_i\) for fermions.

Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula

The general identity called the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula reads:

\[
e^{-B}Ae^B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} [A, B]_n, \quad \text{where} \ [A, B]_n = [[A, B]_{n-1}, B], \quad [A, B]_0 \equiv A.
\]

This can be specialized to some simpler particular cases. Let \(A\) and \(B\) be two quantum operators such that \([A, B]\) commutes with \(A\) and \(B\). Then, the following identities hold:

\[
e^{A+B} = e^A e^B e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A, B]}, \quad [A, e^{\lambda B}] = \lambda [A, B] e^{\lambda B}.
\]

Another useful one is:

\[
\text{if} \ [A, B] = DB \text{ and} \ [A, D] = 0 = [B, D], \text{ then} \ f(A)B = B f(A + D).
\]

This then implies (under the same conditions) that

\[
e^A Be^{-A} = Be^D.
\]

Grassmann variables

\[\forall i, j, \quad \eta_i \eta_j = -\eta_j \eta_i, \quad \int d\eta = 0, \quad \int d\eta_i \eta_i = 1.\]
Coherent state path integral representation of the partition function

For a second-quantized Hamiltonian of the form

\[ \hat{H}(a^\dagger, a) = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} a_j^\dagger a_j + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_k a_l, \]

the partition function is

\[ Z = \int D(\bar{\psi}, \psi) e^{-S[\bar{\psi}, \psi]}. \]

Here, we work directly in the Matsubara frequency (usually labeled by the index \( n \), whose value runs over all integers) representation. The measure is defined as \( D(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = \prod_i \prod_n d(\bar{\psi}_i^n, \psi_i^n) \) and \( d(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \equiv \beta d\bar{\psi} d\psi \) for fermions and \( d(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi\beta} d\bar{\psi} d\psi \) for bosons (see next subsection for the Gaussian integral). The effective action is

\[ S[\bar{\psi}, \psi] = \sum_{ij,n} \bar{\psi}_i^n [( -i\omega_n - \mu) \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}] \psi_j^n + T \sum_{ijkl(n_i)} V_{ijkl} \bar{\psi}_{i_1} \psi_{j_2} \psi_{k_3} \psi_{l_4} \delta_{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4}. \]

Gaussian integration over bosonic/Grassmann variables

By definition, in the frequency representation of the action, we use

\[ \int d(\bar{\psi}, \psi) e^{-\bar{\psi} \varepsilon \psi} = (\beta \varepsilon)^{-\zeta} \]

with \( \zeta = +1 \) for bosons and \( -1 \) for fermions.

Wick’s theorem (fermions)

The expectation value of a product of fermionic fields over a noninteracting theory is given by the sum over all pairings signed by the permutation order. For four fields,

\[ \langle \bar{\psi}_a \bar{\psi}_b \psi_c \psi_d \rangle_0 = \langle \bar{\psi}_a \psi_d \rangle_0 \langle \bar{\psi}_b \psi_c \rangle_0 - \langle \bar{\psi}_a \psi_c \rangle_0 \langle \bar{\psi}_b \psi_d \rangle_0. \]

The first term is the Hartree term, the second is the Fock term.

Relations between Green’s functions

\[ C^{ret}(\omega) = C^T(\omega_n)|_{\omega_n \rightarrow \omega + i\eta} \]

\[ C^{ret}(\omega) = C^T(\omega_n)|_{\omega_n \rightarrow \omega - i\eta} \]

Matsubara sums (fermions)

\[ \sum_n \ln(\beta [-i\omega_n + \xi]) = \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\beta \xi} \right], \]

\[ T \sum_n \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \varepsilon_a + \mu} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_a - \mu)} + 1} \equiv n_F(\varepsilon_a, \mu). \]

Interaction representation

For the Hamiltonian \( H = H_0 + H_I \) in which \( H_I \) represents the ‘interaction’ and \( H_0 \) the free (exactly-solvable) model, the interaction picture states and operators are related to the Schrödinger ones by

\[ |\psi^I(t)\rangle = e^{iH_0 t} |\psi^S(t)\rangle, \]

\[ \mathcal{O}^I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} \mathcal{O}^S e^{-iH_0 t}. \]
Linear response theory: the Kubo formula

For the time-dependent Hamiltonian (in the Schrödinger picture)

\[ H(t) = H_0 + F(t) \hat{P}, \]

with initial condition that the system at \( t \to -\infty \) is in state \( |\psi_0\rangle \), the time-dependent expectation value of operator \( \mathcal{O} \) is given in linear response by the Kubo formula

\[ \bar{\mathcal{O}}(t) = \langle \psi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \psi_0 \rangle + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' \mathcal{C}_{\text{ret},\psi_0}^{\hat{O},\hat{P}}(t-t') F(t') + O(F^2) \]

in terms of the retarded correlation function (computed in state \( |\psi_0\rangle \)) between the perturbation and observable, this retarded function being defined (for a generic state \( |\psi\rangle \)) as

\[ \mathcal{C}_{\text{ret},\psi}^{\hat{O},\hat{P}}(t-t') \equiv -i\theta(t-t') \langle \psi | [\hat{O}(t), \hat{P}(t')] | \psi \rangle. \]